

Casey McCoy
1350 Santiago St
San Francisco CA 94116

Aug 31st 2018

Via ECFS
Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: In the Matter of Petition of USTelecom for Forbearance Pursuant to
47 U.S.C. Section 160(c); WC Docket No. 18-141; Category 1**

Dear FCC,

I am one of a growing number of Sonic customers who greatly appreciate the value (and net neutrality) which Sonic brings to the internet, and who wants companies like Sonic to be able to cover the "last mile" by accessing the existing infrastructure like AT&T and Comcast do.

Prior to Sonic, I was paying \$65/month to Comcast for ~18Mb/s service (or "up to 50Mb/s" per Comcast marketing). I'm now paying about that same amount for ~1000Mb/s (yes, one thousand Mb/s).

Consequently, our family is able to enjoy the internet in ways which we could not use it with our old service.

It strikes me as inexcusable that the 800lb gorillas (which are AT&T, Comcast and the like) have not been the ones to roll out Gbps service at affordable prices, when they're the very ones who have been in the very best position to do so, owing to the economy of scale which they enjoy. Isn't this "advantage to the consumer" argument how we justify allowing corporations to grow ever larger (which anti-trust laws are designed to prevent)?

I'm aware that the FCC basically abolished net neutrality rules at the whim of giant corporations, and I strongly suspect that the FCC is in the pocket of those giant corporations, so I strongly suspect that the FCC will continue to bow to the demands of such corporations, regardless of how many individuals such as myself submit our arguments to the contrary. I would be very happy to be proved wrong on this point.

Casey McCoy